





Supplementary Figure 1. a-d, Sequential colonization profiles reveal that *Bacteroides* species exhibit saturable niche colonization. Germ-free Swiss Webster (SW) mice were mono-associated with a chloramphenicol resistant (Cm^r) bacterial strain containing pFD340-*cat* for 6-9 days and subsequently challenged orally with ~10⁸ CFU of tetracycline resistant (Tet^r) bacterial strain containing pFD340-*tetQ* (see legend for species). CFU was determined by plating serial dilutions of homogenized feces on BHIS agar plate with either Cm or Tet selection to distinguish strains. In each panel, initial (dark blue) and challenge (light blue) strains are shown. e, Germ-free SW mice were mono-associated with *B. fragilis* pFD340-*tetQ* for 6 days and subsequently challenged with ~10⁸ CFU of *B. fragilis* pFD340-*cat*. This is the reverse order of colonization compared to Fig. 1c. f, Germ-free SW mice were mono-associated with *E. coli* JM109 containing pNJR6 (kanamycin resistant) for 6 days and subsequently challenged with ~10⁸ CFU of *E. coli* JM109 containing pFD340 (ampicillin resistant). In all panels, dashed line indicates the limit of detection at 100 CFU/g feces. Results are representative of at least 2 independent trials per experiment (n=1-3 animals/group). Error bars indicate SD.

a**b**


Supplementary Figure 2. a, Schematic of functional *in vivo* screen of the *B. fragilis* genome for mouse gut colonization. 9-10 kb fragments of *B. fragilis* genomic DNA generated by partial digestion with *Sau3AI* were ligated into the *E. coli*-*Bacteroides* shuttle plasmid pFD340-*catBII* (Cm^r). Each individual clone was conjugally transferred into *B. vulgatus*, generating a library of *B. vulgatus* strains carrying a unique *B. fragilis* genomic DNA fragment. The library was screened for *B. fragilis*-specific niche colonization phenotype in animals mono-associated with *B. vulgatus*. **b**, Of 2,100 clones screened, only 2 persisted in mice after 30 days. The minimal genetic element common to the two clones (named S16 and S22) that displayed a colonization phenotype from the *in vivo* screen contains 5 hypothetical open reading frames: BF3583, BF3582, BF3581, BF3580 and BF3579.




Supplementary Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree of CCF homologs based on similarity to BF3581 and BF3580 in the phylum *Bacteroidetes*. Labels indicate the locus ID of the BF3581 homologs. Amino acid sequences of BF3581 and BF3580 were used to query sequenced genomes using JGI's Integrated Microbial Genomes site¹. Hits with expected values less than 10^{-10} were examined for operon organization resemblance to BF3579-BF3583. For inclusion in the tree, candidate operons were required to have a downstream BF3579 homolog with at least one of either the F5/F8 Type C domain (pfam00754) or DUF1735 (pfam08522), which are present in BF3579. Also, candidate operons were required to have one of the following transmembrane regulatory domains: anti-sigma factors, IPT/TIG domain-containing transmembrane proteins (pfam01833), sensor histidine kinases, and transmembrane 6-bladed NHL-repeat beta-propellers (pfam01436). The canonical *sus* operon (BT3702) from *B. thetaiotaomicron* is included as an outgroup for comparison to distantly related genes. Operons from redundant strains of single species and the 22 draft genomes of unnamed *Bacteroides* sp. isolates were not included. Amino acid sequences of BF3581 and BF3580 homologs were concatenated and locally aligned using MUSCLE². The tree was constructed using PhyML³ and displayed using iTOL⁴. Functional results from the animal colonization experiments and the gene expression during growth on N-acetyllactosamine (LacNAc) are summarized to the right of the tree for those operons tested (see main text for more details).




Supplementary Figure 4. Saturable niche colonization is specific to the identified operon and not the nearest *B. fragilis* homolog. Germ-free mice were mono-associated with a BF0227-BF0229 deletion mutant *B. fragilis* strain containing pFD340-*cat* (Cm^r) for 7 days and subsequently challenged orally with $\sim 10^8$ CFU of wild-type *B. fragilis* containing pFD340-*tetQ* (Tet^r). CFU was determined by serial dilution plating of fecal homogenate on BHIS plate with either Cm or Tet. Dashed line indicates the limit of detection at 100 CFU/g feces (n=2 animals/group). Results are representative of 2 independent trials (n=2 animals/group). Error bars indicate SD.




Supplementary Figure 5. Germ-free mice were mono-associated with WT *B. fragilis* pFD340-*cat* for 10 days and subsequently challenged orally with 1×10^8 CFU of *B. fragilis* pFD340-*tetQ* harvested from cecal content (*) of a donor mouse (n=3 animals/group, 1 donor). CFU was determined by serial dilution plating of fecal homogenate on BHIS plate with either Cm or Tet. Dashed line indicates the limit of detection at 100 CFU/g feces. Results are from 3 independent experiments. All error bars indicate SD.




Supplementary Figure 6. a, *ccfA* regulates gene expression and colonization resistance. qRT-PCR of *ccf* gene expression levels from *B. fragilis Δ *ccfA** (in-frame *ccfA* deletion) mono-associated animal feces, cecal content and colon tissues (n=3 animals/group) and culture. The y-axis range was fixed according to Figure 2a. Error bars indicate SEM. **b**, Fecal bacterial colonization levels measured from germ-free mice mono-associated with *B. fragilis Δ *ccfA** and subsequently challenged with 10^8 CFU of WT *B. fragilis*. Error bars indicate SD.




Supplementary Figure 7. a-b, CFU data for Fig. 2b showing that WT *B. fragilis* inhibits mucosal association of challenge bacteria better than *B. fragilis*ΔCCF. Germ-free Swiss Webster mice were mono-associated with (a) WT *B. fragilis* or (b) *B. fragilis*ΔCCF strain for 1 week and subsequently challenged with $\sim 10^8$ CFU of WT *B. fragilis* by oral gavage. Feces and colon tissues were harvested 24 hrs after the challenge and homogenized in PBS for CFU determination. Mucus layer was scraped off from the tissue before homogenization (n = 8 animals/group). Dashed line indicates the limit of detection at 100 CFU/g feces. Each symbol represents one stool or colon tissue sample.




Supplementary Figure 8. WT *B. fragilis* is physically associated with colonic crypts. Confocal micrographs of fixed whole-mount colon tissue from germ-free, WT *B. fragilis* or *B. fragilis*ΔCCF mono-associated mice. Colonic crypts are visualized by DAPI (nuclei, blue) and phalloidin (F-actin, green). Bacteria (red, arrowheads) are visualized by IgY polyclonal antibody raised against *B. fragilis*. Images are representative of seven different sites analyzed from at least two different colons. Scale bar: 10 μ m.




Supplementary Figure 9. WT *B. fragilis* is physically associated with colonic crypts. Cross-section view of confocal micrographs of frozen colon tissue sections from germ-free, WT *B. fragilis* or *B. fragilis* Δ CCF mono-associated mice. The colon crypts are outlined (dashed line) and stained with DAPI (nuclei, blue) and phalloidin (F-actin, green). Bacteria (red) are visualized by IgY polyclonal antibody raised against *B. fragilis*. Scale bar: 10 μ m.




Supplementary Figure 10. *B. fragilis* does not colonize SPF mice. Bacterial colonization levels as relative copy number was determined by fecal DNA qPCR for the following animal experiments: **a**, SPF SW mice were challenged with 10^8 , 10^7 , 10^6 , or 10^5 CFU of *B. fragilis* by oral gavage. **b**, Germ-free SW mice were mono-associated with *B. fragilis* for 2 weeks and orally challenged with cecal content from SPF SW mice. **c**, SPF C57BL/6 mice were challenged with 10^8 CFU of *B. fragilis* by oral gavage. **d**, C57BL/6 mice mono-associated with *B. fragilis* from birth were orally challenged with cecal content from SPF C57BL/6 mice. Dashed line indicates the limit of detection set by the no-template control. Results are representative of 2 independent trials (n=2 animals/group). Error bars indicate SEM.



Supplementary Figure 11. a, Real-time qPCR analysis of bacterial colonization levels in feces from SPF mice that were antibiotic treated to allow co-association with WT *B. fragilis* and *B. fragilis* Δ CCF. After 6 days, mice were orally gavaged with PBS (n=4 animals/group). Compare results to Fig. 3e, where *Citrobacter rodentium* was given, showing CCF phenotype is dependent on perturbation. **b**, Real-time qPCR analysis of bacterial colonization levels in feces from SPF mice that were antibiotic treated and co-associated with WT *B. fragilis* and *B. fragilis* Δ CCF (n=4 animals/group). Compare results to Fig. 3f, where a second round of antibiotic was given, showing CCF phenotype is dependent on perturbation. Results are representative of at least 2 independent trials per experiment. Error bars indicate SEM.



Supplementary Figure 12. WT *B. fragilis* occupies crypts even during antibiotic treatment that clears bacteria from feces. **a**, Confocal micrographs of fixed whole-mount colon tissues from *B. fragilis* colonized SPF mice that were treated with ciprofloxacin in drinking water (1 mg/ml) for 7 days. Crypts were visualized by phalloidin (F-actin, green) and bacteria (red) were stained with IgY polyclonal antibody raised against *B. fragilis*. Arrows show *B. fragilis* in crypts. Scale bar: 10 μm. **b**, Quantification of the proportion of crypts associated with bacteria identified by *B. fragilis* signal level above threshold from z-stacks. Error bars indicate SEM. ND: not detected. *** $p < 0.001$. **** $p < 0.0001$. **c**, qPCR analysis of the *B. fragilis* copy numbers from stool DNA at the beginning (day 16) and at the end of the ciprofloxacin treatment (day 23). Animals were sacrificed and colon tissues were fixed for immunofluorescence staining on day 23. Dashed line indicates the limit of detection set by the no template control. Error bars indicate SEM.



Supplementary Figure 13. *In vitro* induction of *ccf* genes and other polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs). qRT-PCR analysis of *susC/D* gene expression in response to N-acetyllactosamine (LacNAc) in minimal medium compared to glucose during growth of *B. thetaiotaomicron* and *B. fragilis*. *BF3580-81* homologs from *B. fragilis* and *B. thetaiotaomicron* were selected based on the phylogenetic tree in Supplementary Figure 3. Of these homologs, *BT4633-34* and *BT4246-47* are part of PULs that respond to mucin O-glycans as substrates. *BT4633-34* and *BT2559-60* are specifically induced by LacNAc diasaccharide whereas *BT4246-47* respond to core 1 disaccharide⁵. The canonical *sus* genes from *B. fragilis* (*BF3145-46*) are included as a negative control. Results are representative of 2 independent experiments. Error bars indicate SEM from triplicate cultures.

$$N = \frac{\ln(1 - P)}{\ln(1 - \frac{i}{G})} = \frac{\ln(1 - P)}{\ln(1 - \frac{9.5 \times 10^3}{5.2 \times 10^6})} = 2100$$

$$P = 0.979$$

Supplementary Equation 1. Optimal number of clones in the genomic library⁶. P denotes the probability of isolating a particular DNA sequence. The total number of clones screened (N) is 2100; average insert size (i) is 9.5 kb and the *B. fragilis* genome size (G) is 5.2×10^6 bp.

Supplementary References

- 1 Markowitz, V. M. *et al.* IMG: the Integrated Microbial Genomes database and comparative analysis system. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**, D115–D122 (2012).
- 2 Edgar, R. C. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**, 1792-1797 (2004).
- 3 Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W. & Gascuel, O. New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst Biol* **59**, 307-321 (2010).
- 4 Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 127-128 (2007).
- 5 Martens, E. C., Chiang, H. C. & Gordon, J. I. Mucosal Glycan Foraging Enhances Fitness and Transmission of a Saccharolytic Human Gut Bacterial Symbiont. *Cell Host & Microbe* **4**, 447-457 (2008).
- 6 Sambrook, J., Maniatis, T., & Fritsch, E. F. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (1989).

Supplementary Table 1. Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Strain or plasmid	Description	Reference or source
<i>E. coli</i> [®] 10G	F- <i>mcrA</i> Δ (<i>mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC</i>) <i>endA1 recA1</i> Φ 80 <i>dlacZ</i> Δ <i>M15</i> Δ <i>lacX74 araD139</i> Δ (<i>ara, leu</i>)7697 <i>galU galK rpsL nupG</i> λ - <i>tonA</i> ; Standard cloning strain	Lucigen
<i>E. coli</i> JM109	F' [<i>traD36 proA+B+ lacIq</i> Δ (<i>lacZ</i>) <i>M15</i>] Δ (<i>lac-proAB</i>) <i>glnV44</i> (<i>supE44</i>) <i>e14-</i> (<i>McrA-</i>) <i>thi gyrA96</i> (<i>NalR</i>) <i>endA1 hsdR17</i> (<i>rk-mk+</i>) <i>relA1 recA1</i> ; standard cloning strain	Zymo Research
<i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> NCTC 9343	Type strain	[1]
<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 Δ PSA Δ <i>mpi.off</i> (CPM1)	<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 Δ PSA mutant with chromosomal deletion of 534- of 591-bp in the <i>mpi</i> gene; invertible promoters for PSA, PSB, and PSD-H all in “off” orientation	[2]
<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 Δ <i>ccfA</i>	<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 mutant with chromosomal deletion in <i>ccfA</i> gene (BF3583); 312 bp of the 579-bp <i>ccfA</i> gene removed	This study
<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 Δ <i>ccfC</i>	<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 mutant with chromosomal deletion in <i>ccfC</i> gene (BF3581); 2148 bp of the 3477-bp <i>ccfC</i> gene removed	This study
<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 Δ <i>ccfD</i>	<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 mutant with chromosomal deletion in <i>ccfD</i> gene (BF3580); 1086 bp of the 1887-bp <i>ccfD</i> gene removed	This study
<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 Δ <i>ccfE</i>	<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 mutant with chromosomal deletion in <i>ccfE</i> gene (BF3579); 1006 bp of the 1323-bp <i>ccfE</i> gene removed	This study
<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 Δ <i>ccfC-E</i> (<i>B. fragilis</i> Δ CCF)	<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 mutant with chromosomal deletion of CCF operon in biosynthesis genes <i>ccfC-E</i> (BF3581-79); 6059 bp removed	This study
<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 Δ BF0227-29	<i>B. fragilis</i> 9343 mutant with chromosomal deletion of BF0227-29); 6664 bp removed	This study
<i>B. vulgatus</i> ATCC 8482	Type strain	[3]
<i>B. vulgatus</i> Δ <i>ccfC-E</i> (<i>B. vulgatus</i> Δ CCF)	<i>B. vulgatus</i> mutant with chromosomal deletion of CCF operon in biosynthesis genes <i>ccfC-E</i> (BVU946-8); 6304 bp removed	This study
<i>B. vulgatus</i> ::BFCCF	<i>B. vulgatus</i> complemented with <i>B. fragilis</i> CCF operon. Clone S16 isolated from the <i>in vivo</i> chromosomal library screen.	This study
<i>B. fragilis</i> Δ CCF::BFCCF	<i>B. fragilis</i> Δ CCF complemented with <i>B. fragilis</i> CCF operon. BFCCF plasmid isolated from clone S16 and transformed into <i>B. fragilis</i> Δ CCF.	This study
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> ATCC 29148	Type strain; VPI-5482	[4]
<i>B. ovatus</i> ATCC 8483	Type strain	[3]
<i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> DBS100	Type strain; ATCC 51459	[5]
pNJR6	<i>Bacteroides</i> suicide vector; <i>mob</i> ⁺ <i>Tra</i> ⁻ <i>Km</i> ^r (<i>E. coli</i>) <i>Em</i> ^r (<i>Bacteroides</i>)	[6]
R751	Mobilizable mating plasmid to move constructs from <i>E. coli</i> to <i>Bacteroides</i> ; <i>Tra</i> ⁺ <i>Tp</i> ^r	[7]
RK231	Mobilizable mating plasmid to move constructs from <i>E. coli</i> to <i>Bacteroides</i> , RK2 derivative; <i>Tra</i> ⁺ <i>Tet</i> ^r <i>Km</i> ^r	[8]
pFD340	<i>E. coli-Bacteroides</i> shuttle vector, IS4351 promoter; <i>Amp</i> ^r (<i>E. coli</i>) <i>Em</i> ^r (<i>Bacteroides</i>)	[9]
pFD340- <i>cat</i>	Modified pFD340 plasmid containing <i>cat</i> gene PCR amplified from <i>E. Coli</i> K12/pACYC184 (accession #: X06403) cloned into a <i>Sma</i> I site; <i>Amp</i> ^r (<i>E. coli</i>) <i>Cm</i> ^r <i>Em</i> ^r (<i>Bacteroides</i>)	[2]
pFD340- <i>cat</i> BII	<i>E.coli-Bacteroides</i> shuttle vector containing IS4351- <i>cat</i> cassette PCR amplified from pFD340- <i>cat</i> , <i>Bgl</i> /II restriction site encoded at the 5'-end by PCR primer, and cloned into <i>Bam</i> HI/ <i>Pst</i> I digested	This study

	and blunted pFD340 backbone; Amp ^r (<i>E. coli</i>) Cm ^r Em ^r (<i>Bacteroides</i>)	
pFD340- <i>tetQ</i>	Modified pFD340 plasmid containing <i>tetQ</i> gene PCR amplified from <i>Parabacteroides merdae</i> ATCC 43184 cloned into <i>Bam</i> HI/ <i>Kpn</i> I site; Amp ^r (<i>E. coli</i>) Tet ^r Em ^r (<i>Bacteroides</i>)	This study

Supplementary Table 2. Sequences of primers used in this study.

Primers used for cloning recombinant genes and generating deletion constructs (bold: 5' addition)

Primer name	Sequence	Purpose
IS4351-F2	AAAGATCT GAAAGAGAGACAATGTCCCC	clone IS4351- <i>cat</i>
cat2-X	AACTCGAGCGAATTTCTGCCATTCATCCG	clone IS4351- <i>cat</i>
tetQ-F2	AAGGATCCGTAATCGTTATGCGGCAGTAATAATA TACA	clone <i>tetQ</i>
tetQ-R	AAGGTACCGAGCTCGTCTATTTTATTGCCAAG	clone <i>tetQ</i>
delBF3583L_F	CTGTCGACCGAGGGAAGCATCACTTCAT	delete <i>ccfA</i> – left flank
delBF3583L_R	TTCCCGGTATTCTCCAGACAGCGAGAGAT	delete <i>ccfA</i> – left flank
delBF3583R_F	GTCTGGGAGAATACCGGGAATATAGCCATGC	delete <i>ccfA</i> – right flank
delBF3583R_R	ATGTCGACTTGTGATACGTCCGTCGGTA	delete <i>ccfA</i> – right flank
delBF3581L_F	GTGGATCCTAGTTAACTGACCGAACGATTGA	delete <i>ccfC</i> – left flank
delBF3581L_R	TGCCATTACTTTTACCCGGAATAAAATTCTTGA	delete <i>ccfC</i> – left flank
delBF3581R_F	CGGGTAAAAGTAATGGCACCTATGGTAGGATTC	delete <i>ccfC</i> – right flank
delBF3581R_R	TTGGATCCTGTGAATGTTTATAGGCAGAAGGA	delete <i>ccfC</i> – right flank
delBF3580L_F	GTGGATCCGGCTGATTTTATCAGAGTTCCTGT	delete <i>ccfD</i> – left flank
delBF3580L_R	TCGTCAGGCTATTATTTTCCGTTTGGCAGATT	delete <i>ccfD</i> – left flank
delBF3580R_F	AAAATAATAGCCTGACGAATGTATTTGTAACAG	delete <i>ccfD</i> – right flank
delBF3580R_R	TTGGATCCACTGTAGGGGTAGATCTCGCTATG	delete <i>ccfD</i> – right flank
delBF3579L_F	AAGGATCCTTGGCATATCCGGAATTCAT	delete <i>ccfE</i> – left flank
delBF3579L_R	TAGGCGAAAAGCGATCGGTCAGTTTGTTTT	delete <i>ccfE</i> – left flank
delBF3579R_F	TGACCGATCGCTTTTCGCCTACATTATAAGATTGC	delete <i>ccfE</i> – right flank
delBF3579R_R	ATGGATCCGCGTCGACCAGTCCAATTAT	delete <i>ccfE</i> – right flank
delBF3579L_F	AAGGATCCTTGGCATATCCGGAATTCAT	delete <i>ccfC-E</i> – left flank
delBF3579L_Rb	TGCCATTACCGATCGGTCAGTTTGTTTT	delete <i>ccfC-E</i> – left flank
delBF3581R_Fb	TGACCGATCGGTAATGGCACCTATGGTAGGATTC	delete <i>ccfC-E</i> – right flank
delBF3581R_R	TTGGATCCTGTGAATGTTTATAGGCAGAAGGA	delete <i>ccfC-E</i> – right flank
delBF0227L_F	AAGGATCCGAACCGTTAATGCGTCGTTT	delete BF0227-29 – left flank
delBF0227L_R	CTTCACGCAAATTCCGGTACATGGGATCAA	delete BF0227-29 – left flank
delBF0229R_F	GTACCGGAATTTGCGTGAAGCGTAAAAACA	delete BF0227-29 – right flank
delBF0229R_R	AAGGATCCATCGTCTATTCGGCAACAGG	delete BF0227-29 – right flank
delBVU946L_F	CTGTCGACGGATTTTCGTCTTGACACAGGT	delete <i>ccfC-E</i> – left flank (<i>B. vulgatus</i>)
delBVU946L_R	TGGGGAATCCACGTTGCTGCCCTCAAATAC	delete <i>ccfC-E</i> – left flank (<i>B. vulgatus</i>)
delBVU948R_F	GCAGCAACGTGGATTCCCCACTGCTACAAA	delete <i>ccfC-E</i> – right flank (<i>B. vulgatus</i>)
delBVU948R_R	ATGTCGACTCGACTCCGTAGATCCCATC	delete <i>ccfC-E</i> – right flank (<i>B. vulgatus</i>)

Primers used for quantitative PCR

Primer name	Sequence	Target	Reference or source
BF3583 QF	GGAATTTGCATGACACTTAT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfA</i>	This study
BF3583 QR	CTGAGAGGTTTCATCTTCTG	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfA</i>	This study
BF3582 QF	AGTGTCCCCACTTCATCGTC	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfB</i>	This study
BF3582 QR	TGAAACTTTTGCCGGAGAAT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfB</i>	This study
BF3581 QF	GATGAACTGATAGCCCATTA	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfC</i>	This study
BF3581 QR	TAGCGATGACTAAAGGTGTT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfC</i>	This study
BF3580 QF	CGGTTATATGCTTTTCAAAC	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfD</i>	This study
BF3580 QR	CAAATAGAAATCTGCCAAAC	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfD</i>	This study
BF3579 QF	TGCTATTTGCACGGGTAACA	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfE</i>	This study
BF3579 QR	CCGAAACTCCGATTCTTCAT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfE</i>	This study
BF0229 QF	CCGGACGTGTTACCTATGCT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfC</i> homologue	This study
BF0229 QR	ACAGCGAGTGAAGGGAAGAA	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfC</i> homologue	This study
BF0228 QF	GAAAACTGCCATGGACGAAT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfD</i> homologue	This study
BF0228 QR	GGTTGAATTCGCGCAGATTA	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfD</i> homologue	This study
BF2942 QF	GATCGATTCAAGTCGGTTCGT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfC</i> homologue	This study
BF2942 QR	CGGTTCTCCACTACGTTGGT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfC</i> homologue	This study
BF2941 QF	AAATGCTTCGCAAGCAGAAT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfD</i> homologue	This study
BF2941 QR	TACGTATGGCAGGCAATGAA	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>ccfD</i> homologue	This study
BF3146 QF	ACCTCTACCGACTGGCAAGA	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>susC</i> homologue	This study
BF3146 QR	CGGACACACGATAAGGCATA	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>susC</i> homologue	This study
BF3145 QF	ACCTCGATACGGTTCCTACTG	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>susD</i> homologue	This study
BF3145 QR	GCCATGCCTGCATAAATCTT	<i>B. fragilis</i> <i>susD</i> homologue	This study
Bfragilis16S F	TGATTCCGCATGGTTTCATT	<i>B. fragilis</i> 16S rRNA	[10]
Bfragilis16S R	CGACCCATAGAGCCTTCATC	<i>B. fragilis</i> 16S rRNA	[10]
BT4634 QF	AACGGTAGTGGCATCGAAAC	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susC</i> homologue	This study
BT4634 QR	CGATAATGCCGTCTCCATTT	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susC</i> homologue	This study
BT4633 QF	ATGCAGCAAACATGGGTTTT	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susD</i> homologue	This study
BT4633 QR	CCATTGGCAGCTATTGGTTT	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susD</i> homologue	This study
BT4247 QF	ATTCACGCATTACCGGCTAC	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susC</i> homologue	This study
BT4247 QR	TCCGACCTTGGGTGTAGAAC	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susC</i> homologue	This study
BT4246 QF	GAACGGAAGTTCCCCTACC	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susD</i> homologue	This study
BT4246 QR	TGCTCTTTCCCATTTGCTCT	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susD</i> homologue	This study
BT2560 QF	CCAGCCGTTGTATGTGATTG	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susC</i> homologue	This study
BT2560 QR	GGAGATACCGTCACCTGCAT	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susC</i> homologue	This study
BT2559 QF	AATGAAGGCTGGGGAGAAGT	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susD</i> homologue	This study
BT2559 QR	TATCACCACTTCCGCTTTC	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> <i>susD</i> homologue	This study
Btheta16S F	GGTAGTCCACACAGTAAACGA TGAA	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> 16S rRNA	[11]
Btheta16S R	CCCGTCAATTCCTTTGAGTTTC	<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> 16S rRNA	[11]
BF3581 QF3	CACCGATACCCTGCGTAAAT	WT <i>B. fragilis</i> specific	This study
BF3581 QR3	GGCGGACTGGTAACGATAAA	WT <i>B. fragilis</i> specific	This study
delCCF QF	CGGTGCTAACGTTGTCGTAA	<i>B. fragilis</i> ΔCCF specific	This study
delCCF QR	ATTTTAGTGCGGCATCCTGA	<i>B. fragilis</i> ΔCCF specific	This study
Cfch QF	GGTAAATCCACCACCCTGAA	<i>C. rodentium</i> specific	This study
Cfch QR	GTATTCCACGGGGTCTTCAA	<i>C. rodentium</i> specific	This study
UniF334	ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGT	Universal 16S	[12]
UniR514	ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGGC	Universal 16S	[12]

1. Johnson, J.L., *Taxonomy of Bacteroides .1. Deoxyribonucleic-Acid Homologies among Bacteroides-Fragilis and Other Saccharolytic Bacteroides-Species*. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology, 1978. **28**(2): p. 245-256.
2. Liu, C.H., et al., *Regulation of surface architecture by symbiotic bacteria mediates host colonization*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2008. **105**(10): p. 3951-6.
3. Cato, E.P. and J.L. Johnson, *Reinstatement of Species Rank for Bacteroides-Fragilis, Bacteroides-Ovatus, Bacteroides-Distasonis, Bacteroides-Thetaiotaomicron, and Bacteroides-Vulgatus - Designation of Neotype Strains for Bacteroides-Fragilis (Veillon and Zuber) Castellani and Chalmers and Bacteroides-Thetaiotaomicron (Distaso) Castellani and Chalmers*. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology, 1976. **26**(2): p. 230-237.
4. Xu, J., et al., *A genomic view of the human-Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron symbiosis*. Science, 2003. **299**(5615): p. 2074-6.
5. Schauer, D.B. and S. Falkow, *Attaching and effacing locus of a Citrobacter freundii biotype that causes transmissible murine colonic hyperplasia*. Infect Immun, 1993. **61**(6): p. 2486-92.
6. Stevens, A.M., N.B. Shoemaker, and A.A. Salyers, *The region of a Bacteroides conjugal chromosomal tetracycline resistance element which is responsible for production of plasmidlike forms from unlinked chromosomal DNA might also be involved in transfer of the element*. J Bacteriol, 1990. **172**(8): p. 4271-9.
7. Shoemaker, N.B., et al., *Evidence that the clindamycin-erythromycin resistance gene of Bacteroides plasmid pBF4 is on a transposable element*. J Bacteriol, 1985. **162**(2): p. 626-32.
8. Guiney, D.G., P. Hasegawa, and C.E. Davis, *Plasmid transfer from Escherichia coli to Bacteroides fragilis: differential expression of antibiotic resistance phenotypes*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1984. **81**(22): p. 7203-6.
9. Smith, C.J., M.B. Rogers, and M.L. McKee, *Heterologous gene expression in Bacteroides fragilis*. Plasmid, 1992. **27**(2): p. 141-54.
10. Odamaki, T., et al., *Distribution of different species of the Bacteroides fragilis group in individuals with Japanese cedar pollinosis*. Appl Environ Microbiol, 2008. **74**(21): p. 6814-7.
11. Martens, E.C., et al., *Recognition and degradation of plant cell wall polysaccharides by two human gut symbionts*. PLoS Biol, 2011. **9**(12): p. e1001221.
12. Amann, R.I., et al., *Combination of 16S rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes with flow cytometry for analyzing mixed microbial populations*. Appl Environ Microbiol, 1990. **56**(6): p. 1919-25.